



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/086,362	03/04/2002	Naoto Yamada	Q67143	2731
75	590 01/22/2004		EXAM	INER
SUGHRUE, MION, ZINN, MACPEAK & SEAS, PLLC			TRAN, HUAN HUU	
Suite 800 2100 Pennsylva	nnia Avenue, N.W.	ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER
	C 20037-3213		2861	
			DATE MAILED: 01/22/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		,	AX	
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/086,362	YAMADA, NAOTO	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Huan H. Tran	2861	
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
THE - Exte afte - If th - If NO - Fail - Any	MORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS frow the application to become ABANDON.	ays will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_·		
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) This	action is non-final.	•	
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E			
Disposit	tion of Claims			
5) 6)	Claim(s) is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.		
	tion Papers			
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceed applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S ion is required if the drawing(s) is c	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
* 13) * 14)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b Some * c None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priorial application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestication The translation of the foreign language pro Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestication The translation of the foreign language pro Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestication Certification Certification	s have been received. s have been received in Applica rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). of the certified copies not receiv c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 st sentence of the specification of existence application has been receivisional application has been received.	etion No ved in this National Stage ved. (e) (to a provisional application) or in an Application Data Sheet. eceived. 20 and/or 121 since a specific	
Attachme	nt(s)			
2) Noti	ice of References Cited (PTO-892) ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _		ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/086,362 Page 2

Art Unit: 2861

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 and dependent claims thereof are indefinite for failure to positively recite in the claim sufficient structural limitations to support the functional wherein statements with respect to the function of the conveyance rollers. The conveyance rollers themselves cannot perform the recited function without the control of a controller. Per MPEP 2173.05(g0, a functional limitation is often used in association with an element, ingredient, or step of a process to define a particular capability or purpose that is served by the recited element, ingredient or step. In this instance, the recited elements specifically recited in the claims, namely the two pairs of conveyance rolers and the leading edge detector, cannot perform the recited function by themselves.

It should be noted that language that suggests or makes optional but does not require steps to be performed or does not limit a claim to a particular structure does not limit the scope of a claim or claim limitation. MPEP 2106, p 2100-8.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 3. Claims 1, 3, 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a or e) as being clearly anticipated by Kato et al.

As to claim 1, Kato et al. discloses an image recording apparatus for exposing a photosensitive material to record an image on the photosensitive material, comprising a nipping and conveyance arrangement for aiding in conveying the photosensitive material along a conveyance path in the image recording apparatus, the nipping and conveyance arrangement comprising:

Application/Control Number: 10/086,362

Art Unit: 2861

two pairs of conveyance rollers mountable in the image recording apparatus, with one pair (36) disposed upstream from an exposure position relative to conveyance direction of the photosensitive material along the conveyance path during exposure, and one other pair (38) disposed downstream from the exposure position, in which the pairs of conveyance rollers nip and convey the photosensitive material during exposure, and;

a detector (col. 10, lines 17-20) detecting a leading edge of the photosensitive material, wherein at least the upstream pair of conveyance rollers nipping at least a leading edge of the photosensitive material when the photosensitive material passes through the upstream pair of conveyance rollers and the pairs of conveyance rollers releasing the photosensitive material, at least momentarily, after the leading edge has passed through the downstream pair of conveyance rollers based on an output of the detector.

Regarding the manner in which the conveyance rollers are operated as recited in claims 1, 3 and 5, it should be noted that apparatus claims must be structurally distinguishable from the prior art. Apparatus claims cover what device is, not what device does, and thus invention need not operate differently than prior art in order to be patentable, but need only be different. Hewlett-Packard Co. v. Bausch & Lomb Inc., 909 F.2d 1464, 1469, 15 USPQ2d 1525, 1528 (Fed. Cir. 1990). MPEP 2114.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 4. Claims 11-21 are allowed.
- 5. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: Prior art of record do not teach or suggest a control arrangement for controlling the nip roller pairs in the manner recited in the claims.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed on 11/03/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Per MPEP 2173.05(g), functional language does not, in and of itself, render a claim improper. In re Swinehart, 439 F.2d 210, 169 USPQ 226 (CCPA 1971). A functional limitation must be evaluated and considered, just like any other limitation of the claim, for what it fairly conveys to a person of ordinary skill in the pertinent art in the context in which it is used. In this instance, the functional limitation fail to comply

Art Unit: 2861

100

中である

with 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph for the reason stated in the rejection above.

Conclusion

7. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Huan H. Tran whose telephone number is (703) 308-0749. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F with Monday off, from 7:30am to 6pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stepphen Meier can be reached on (703) 308-4896. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1749.

Huan H. Tran Primary Examiner Art Unit 2861

Application/Control Number: 10/086,362

Page 5

Art Unit: 2861

hht 01/15/2004